

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,274	08/05/2003	Paul A. Farrar	1303.110US1	7065
75	90 08/04/2004		EXAMINER	
Attn: David R. Cochran			KEBEDE, BROOK	
Schwegman, Lu	ındberg, Woessner & K	Cluth, P.A.		
P.O. Box 2938		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, MN 55402		2823		

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
		10/634,274	FARRAR, PAUL A.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brook Kebede	2823	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communications	n appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Communication of the second for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. The areply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-final.  lowance except for formal ma	•	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing (s) be held in abeyour orrection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for a second	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/634,274

Art Unit: 2823

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I, Claims 1-57, drawn to Method for Manufacturing a Semiconductor Device, classified in class 438, subclass 584.

Group II, Claims 58-103, drawn to Semiconductor Device, classified in class 257, subclass 758+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the device of Group II can be manufactured without subjecting the core conductive layer to H<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. If applicant elects either Group I or Group II invention, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

### Group I:

Species I, drawn to the first embodiment, method for forming an electronic device.

Species II, drawn to the second embodiment, method for forming IC.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,274

Art Unit: 2823

Species III, drawn to the third embodiment, method for forming memory device.

Species IV, drawn to the fourth embodiment, method for forming an electronic system.

# Group II:

Species I, drawn to the first embodiment, an electronic device.

Species II, drawn to the second embodiment, an IC device.

Species III, drawn to the third embodiment, memory device.

Species IV, drawn to the fourth embodiment, an electronic system.

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, non generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 2823

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

### Correspondence

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (571) 272-1862. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brook Kebede Examiner Art Unit 2823

BK July 26, 2004 Brown Kekedle